

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,670	11/07/2001	Sandra M. Tsontzidis	11227.00	7281
20686	7590 03/11/2003			
	WHITNEY, LLP	EXAMINER		
370 SEVENT	JAL PROPERTY DEP EENTH STREET	LEUNG, PHILIP H		
SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO	80202-5647		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	ł

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/008,670 Applicant(s)

TSONTZIDIS et al

Examiner

Philip H. Leung

Art Unit 3742

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cove	r sheet with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 						
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire Si e application to	X (6) MONTHS f become ABAND(rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 26, 20	203		· ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti	on is non-1	inal.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-91</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>26-88</u>			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-25 and 89-91			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims		are subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Nov 7, 2001 is/are	a) 💢 acc	epted or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing(s) b	e held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on		_ is: a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rec	eived.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rec	eived in App	olication No		
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments h	nave been re lle 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage		
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			eceived.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority un	der 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).		
a) 🗆	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	l applicatio	n has been	received.		
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm						
~	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		0-413) Paper No(s)		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		of Informal Pater	nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/008,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species of Figures 1-3, Claims 1-25 and 89-91 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 26-88 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.
- 3. The drawings filed 11-7-01 are acceptable.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/008,670 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

5. Claims 1-25 and 88-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeng et al (US 6,204,492) or Lai et al (US 5,698,127), in view of Walters et al (US 5,217,768) or Stenkamp et al (US 5,310,977).

Zeng shows an abuse-tolerant microwave food packaging material includes repeated sets of metallic foil or high optical density evaporated material segments (22) disposed on a substrate (34). Each set of metallic segments (22, 30, 40, 44, 62, 64, 66 etc.) is arranged to define a perimeter (such as 24, 32, 68) having a length equal to a predetermined ratio of the operating, or effective wavelength of a microwave oven. The repeated sets of segments act both as a shield to microwave energy and as focusing elements for microwave energy when used in conjunction with food products yet remaining electrically safe in the absence of the food products (see Figures 1-6 and col. 2, lines 25-63). Similarly, Lai shows a microwave food package material having similar claimed features as shown in Figures 2-8 and col. 4, line 15 - col. 6, line 65. Zeng or Lai does not show the use of an indentation pattern on the material to create a gap between the package material and the oven support. Walters or Stenkamp shows that it is well known in the art of microwave cooking to use food packages including various indentation patterns to form gaps between the package and the support to allow spacings therebetween for better food heating result (see Walters, Figures 5-10, col. 7, line 52 - col. 8, line 22 and col. 9, line 63 - col. 10, line 3 and Stenkamp, Figures 1-7 and col. 4, line 5 - col. 8, line 17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zeng or Lai to form indentation patterns on the food package so that gaps are created between the package and the support for better microwave heating result, in view of the teaching of Walters or Stenkamp. The various indentation patterns would have been engineering variations of the patterns in these references following the teaching of Walters or Stenkamp.

Art Unit: 3742

6. The prior art made of record below is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Brandberg et al (US 5,317,118) (see Figures 1-10 and col. 2, line 51 - col. 6, line 36) and Anderson et al (US 6,150,647) (see Figures 3-5) are further cited to show microwave food packages with various features as claimed.

7. Any inquiry concerning any communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg, can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3742

P.Leung/pl 3-7-03